

Estimated Prop. 5 Treatment Allocations by County

The Nonviolent Offender Rehabilitation Act (NORA) would substantially increase state spending on drug treatment, rehabilitation and a range of support programs. NORA sets a base funding level of **\$460 million per year** in FY 2009-10, and adjusts that figure in future years for price inflation and state population. Counties would receive funds for treatment for at-risk youth under the age of 18 and for nonviolent drug offenders in the court system. Nonviolent offenders in the courts would receive treatment through one of three "tracks" depending on criminal history, with the highest level, Track III, most analogous to the current drug court system. Counties must separate their total allocations into three accounts to serve NORA's different purposes – Youth, Tracks I & II (combined) and Track III. This spreadsheet assumes that the state would use \$10 million per year for research and overhead, then estimates how much, from the remaining \$450 million, each county might have available for each area in the first full year of the program (FY 2009-10).

Larger Counties

	Total SACPA Allocation (FY 07-08)	Estimated Total NORA Allocation (FY 09-10)	Estimated NORA Allocation* for Youth Programs (FY 09-10)	Estimated NORA Allocation* for Tracks I & II (FY 09-10)	Est. NORA Allocation* for Track III programs (FY 09-10)
Alameda	\$3,133,010	\$14,749,135	\$2,212,370	\$11,061,851	\$1,474,914
Butte	\$771,660	\$3,632,710	\$544,907	\$2,724,533	\$363,271
Contra Costa	\$2,278,533	\$10,726,551	\$1,608,983	\$8,044,913	\$1,072,655
El Dorado	\$443,525	\$2,087,963	\$313,195	\$1,565,973	\$208,796
Fresno	\$2,735,131	\$12,876,057	\$1,931,409	\$9,657,043	\$1,287,606
Humboldt	\$435,988	\$2,052,482	\$307,872	\$1,539,361	\$205,248
Imperial	\$590,290	\$2,778,883	\$416,832	\$2,084,162	\$277,888
Kern	\$2,306,989	\$10,860,512	\$1,629,077	\$8,145,384	\$1,086,051
Kings	\$480,280	\$2,260,993	\$339,149	\$1,695,745	\$226,099
Los Angeles	\$25,258,323	\$118,907,510	\$17,836,127	\$89,180,633	\$11,890,751
Madera	\$402,466	\$1,894,672	\$284,201	\$1,421,004	\$189,467
Marin	\$666,315	\$3,136,782	\$470,517	\$2,352,587	\$313,678
Merced	\$689,545	\$3,246,141	\$486,921	\$2,434,606	\$324,614
Monterey	\$923,110	\$4,345,685	\$651,853	\$3,259,264	\$434,568
Napa	\$447,700	\$2,107,618	\$316,143	\$1,580,713	\$210,762
Orange	\$6,641,549	\$31,266,132	\$4,689,920	\$23,449,599	\$3,126,613
Placer	\$778,518	\$3,664,995	\$549,749	\$2,748,747	\$366,500
Riverside	\$3,630,709	\$17,092,131	\$2,563,820	\$12,819,098	\$1,709,213
Sacramento	\$3,477,194	\$16,369,435	\$2,455,415	\$12,277,076	\$1,636,944
San Bernardino	\$4,894,336	\$23,040,853	\$3,456,128	\$17,280,640	\$2,304,085
San Diego	\$7,640,408	\$35,121,039	\$5,268,156	\$26,340,779	\$3,512,104
San Francisco	\$2,928,797	\$13,787,770	\$2,068,166	\$10,340,828	\$1,378,777
San Joaquin	\$1,697,561	\$7,991,534	\$1,198,730	\$5,993,651	\$799,153
San Luis Obispo	\$711,156	\$3,347,878	\$502,182	\$2,510,909	\$334,788
San Mateo	\$1,439,473	\$6,776,545	\$1,016,482	\$5,082,408	\$677,654
Santa Barbara	\$1,511,814	\$7,117,101	\$1,067,565	\$5,337,826	\$711,710
Santa Clara	\$3,794,550	\$17,863,438	\$2,679,516	\$13,397,579	\$1,786,344
Santa Cruz	\$847,532	\$3,989,890	\$598,483	\$2,992,417	\$398,989
Shasta	\$596,661	\$2,808,875	\$421,331	\$2,106,656	\$280,888
Solano	\$1,250,403	\$5,886,468	\$882,970	\$4,414,851	\$588,647
Sonoma	\$1,480,263	\$6,968,570	\$1,045,285	\$5,226,427	\$696,857
Stanislaus	\$1,344,861	\$6,331,144	\$949,672	\$4,748,358	\$633,114
Tulare	\$1,394,090	\$6,562,897	\$984,435	\$4,922,173	\$656,290
Ventura	\$2,198,971	\$10,352,000	\$1,552,800	\$7,764,000	\$1,035,200
Yolo	\$724,663	\$3,411,465	\$511,720	\$2,558,598	\$341,146
	\$90,546,374	\$425,413,854	\$63,812,078	\$319,060,391	\$42,541,385

* Estimated NORA allocation assumes that all counties will receive funds in precisely the same proportions under NORA as they do now under Prop. 36/SACPA. However, an oversight panel will be empowered to refine the distribution formula, making it possible that there will be substantial differences. Total amount to be distributed assumes \$450m out of \$460m total appropriated by NORA would be distributed to counties.

Estimated Prop. 5 Treatment Allocations by County

The Nonviolent Offender Rehabilitation Act (NORA) would substantially increase state spending on drug treatment, rehabilitation and a range of support programs. NORA sets a base funding level of \$460 million per year in FY 2009-10, and adjusts that figure in future years for price inflation and state population. Counties would receive funds for treatment for at-risk youth under the age of 18 and for nonviolent drug offenders in the court system. Nonviolent offenders in the courts would receive treatment through one of three "tracks" depending on criminal history, with the highest level, Track III, most analogous to the current drug court system. Counties must separate their total allocations into three accounts to serve NORA's different purposes – Youth, Tracks I & II (combined) and Track III. This spreadsheet assumes that the state would use \$10 million per year for research and overhead, then estimates how much, from the remaining \$450 million, each county might have available for each area in the first full year of the program (FY 2009-10).

Minimum Base Counties (population <100,000)

	Total SACPA Allocation (FY 07-08)	Estimated Total NORA Allocation (FY 09-10)	Estimated NORA Allocation* for Youth Programs (FY 09-10)	Estimated NORA Allocation* for Tracks I & II (FY 09-10)	Est. NORA Allocation* for Track III programs (FY 09-10)
Alpine	\$26,854	\$126,419	\$18,963	\$94,815	\$12,642
Amador	\$184,466	\$868,403	\$130,260	\$651,302	\$86,840
Calaveras	\$163,992	\$772,018	\$115,803	\$579,014	\$77,202
Colusa	\$203,173	\$956,469	\$143,470	\$717,352	\$95,647
Del Norte	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	\$266,463	\$1,254,416	\$188,162	\$940,812	\$125,442
Inyo	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	\$327,819	\$1,543,259	\$231,489	\$1,157,444	\$154,326
Lassen	\$254,500	\$1,198,099	\$179,715	\$898,574	\$119,810
Mariposa	\$212,340	\$999,624	\$149,944	\$749,718	\$99,962
Mendocino	\$490,677	\$2,309,939	\$346,491	\$1,732,454	\$230,994
Modoc	\$177,904	\$837,511	\$125,627	\$628,133	\$83,751
Mono	\$46,218	\$217,578	\$32,637	\$163,184	\$21,758
Nevada	\$330,677	\$1,556,714	\$233,507	\$1,167,535	\$155,671
Plumas	\$244,826	\$1,152,557	\$172,884	\$864,418	\$115,256
San Benito	\$260,029	\$1,224,127	\$183,619	\$918,095	\$122,413
Sierra	\$161,158	\$758,677	\$113,801	\$569,007	\$75,868
Siskiyou	\$318,794	\$1,500,773	\$225,116	\$1,125,580	\$150,077
Sutter	\$340,244	\$1,601,752	\$240,263	\$1,201,314	\$160,175
Tehama	\$347,273	\$1,634,842	\$245,226	\$1,226,132	\$163,484
Trinity	\$197,113	\$927,940	\$139,191	\$695,955	\$92,794
Tuolumne	\$263,764	\$1,241,710	\$186,257	\$931,283	\$124,171
Yuba	\$404,303	\$1,903,320	\$285,498	\$1,427,490	\$190,332
	\$5,222,587	\$24,586,146	\$3,687,922	\$18,439,609	\$2,458,615

* Estimated NORA allocation assumes that all counties will receive funds in precisely the same proportions under NORA as they do now under Prop. 36/SACPA. However, an oversight panel will be empowered to refine the distribution formula, making it possible that there will be substantial differences. Total amount to be distributed assumes \$450m out of \$460m total appropriated by NORA would be distributed to counties.